UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

HAMID REZA ARDANEH,

Plaintiff,

-against-

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS; KRISTEN BUXTON; CEARA MAHONEY; BENJAMIN B. TARIRI,

Defendants.

23-CV-0034 (LTS)

TRANSFER ORDER

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who is currently detained at the Middlesex County Jail, in Billerica,
Massachusetts, brings this *pro se* action challenging his current detention. He sues the
Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the judge presiding over his criminal case, the Honorable
Kristen Buxton, District Attorney Ceara Mahoney, and an attorney, Benjamin Tariri.

For the following reasons, the Court transfers this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1406 to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts.

DISCUSSION

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), a civil action may be brought in

(1) a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located; (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated; or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in this section, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court's personal jurisdiction with respect to such action.

Under Section 1391(c), a "natural person" resides in the district where the person is domiciled, and an "entity with the capacity to sue and be sued" resides in any judicial district

where it is subject to personal jurisdiction with respect to the civil action in question. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(1), (2).

Plaintiff challenges the legality of this current detention in Massachusetts. He does not plead the residence of any of the defendants, only asserting that the alleged events giving rise to his claims occurred in the Middlesex Superior Court. Because at least one of the Defendants resides in Massachusetts, where the alleged violations occurred, from the face of the complaint, it is clear that venue is not proper in this Court under Section 1391(b)(1), (2).

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1406, if a plaintiff files a case in the wrong venue, the Court "shall dismiss, or if it be in the interest of justice, transfer such case to any district or division in which it could have been brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a). Plaintiff's claims arose in Massachusetts, which is in the District of Massachusetts. Accordingly, venue lies in the District of Massachusetts, 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), and in the interest of justice, the Court transfers this action to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

CONCLUSION

The Clerk of Court is directed to transfer this action to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. Whether Plaintiff should be permitted to proceed further without prepayment of fees is a determination to be made by the transferee court. A summons shall not issue from this Court. This order closes this case.

The Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1915(a)(3), that any appeal from this order

would not be taken in good faith, and therefore in forma pauperis status is denied for the purpose

of an appeal. See Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 8, 2023

New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN Chief United States District Judge

3